## WETZEL & ASSOCIATES, P.A.

Benjamin C. Wetzel, III

The Carriage House, Suite 201 1100 North Grant Avenue Wilmington, Delaware 19805

Telephone: 302.652.1200 Facsimile: 302.652.1900 E-mail: bwetzel@wetzellaw.com

June 1, 2006

## **VIA E-FILE**

The Honorable Kent A. Jordan U.S. District Court 844 N. King Street Lock Box 10 Wilmington, DE 19801

RE: Gorbey, et al. v. Longwill, et al.

C. A. No.: 05-0211 KAJ Our File No.: 7264

## Dear Judge Jordan:

Plaintiff respectfully seeks to correct a misstatement of law contained in the Brief in Support of Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to the Ashland Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment as to Liability. (D.I. 99) In part III of the argument section of plaintiff's brief at page 8, discussing Rabar v. E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co., Inc., 415 A.2d 499 (Del. Super. 1980) the brief states, "Conspicuously absent in Moving Defendants' Brief is an acknowledgement that this case is no longer good law – it has been *overruled* (overruling recognized by <u>Hawthorne v. Edis Co.</u>, 2003 WL 23009254 (Del. Super. Jul.14, 2003))."

Upon further review of the relevant case law, including <u>Hawthorne</u>, plaintiff agrees with the Ashland Defendants that <u>Rabar</u> was overruled in part, but on *other* grounds. Plaintiff acknowledges that <u>Rabar</u>'s work area control test is still good law, and wishes to correct its misstatement of the law with the Court. While <u>Rabar</u>'s overruling was on other grounds, plaintiff respectfully maintains, however, that <u>Rabar</u> is unavailing to the Ashland defendants for the reasons articulated in the brief.

The Honorable Kent A. Jordan June 1, 2006 Page 2 of 2

The undersigned apologize to the Court for any inconvenience this misstatement of law may have caused, and are available if the Court requires any additional information

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Benjamin C. Wetzel, III /s/ Matthew A. Casey

cc: Chase T. Brockstedt, Esquire (via e-file) Kevin J. Connors, Esquire (via e-file)